



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,264	10/31/2001	Scott A. Waterman	1546.009US1	3913
21186	7590	08/31/2004	EXAMINER	
SCHWEGMAN, LUNDBERG, WOESSNER & KLUTH, P.A. P.O. BOX 2938 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			VEILLARD, JACQUES	
		ART UNIT		PAPER NUMBER
		2175		8
DATE MAILED: 08/31/2004				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/004,264	WATERMAN ET AL. <i>pt</i>	
	Examiner Jacques Veillard	Art Unit 2175	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 October 2001.

2a) This action is **FINAL**. 2b) This action is non-final.

3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.

4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.

5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.

6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.

7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.

8) Claim(s) 1-30 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.

10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

 Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

 Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some * c) None of:
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ .
5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6) Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is responsive to the applicant's communication filed on 10/31/2001.
2. Claims 1-30 are pending and presented for examination
3. Claims 1, 9, 18, and 25 are the independent claims. Other claims are the dependent.

Election/Restrictions

4. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-17, drawn to method and system to assist a user in classification documents to concepts, classified in class 707, subclass 102.
 - II. Claims 18-30, drawn to a method and system to assist a user in classification document, in a set of documents, to at least one node, in a set of nodes including an extractor for automatically extracted candidate features from the document, classified in class 715, subclass 500.
5. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention I has separate utility such as it is usable in a method and system to assist a user in classification documents to concepts, classified in class 707, subclass 102. Without requiring a method and system to assist a user in classification document, in a set of documents, to at least one node, in a set of nodes including an extractor for

automatically extracted candidate features from the of group II, classified in class classified in class 715, subclass 500. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

6. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and because the search required for each group is different and not co-existent for examination purpose, these groups would require different searches in PTO's classification class and subclass. The group I search (1-17) would require use of search classified in Class 707, subclass 102, which would not required for Group II. The group II search (18-30) would require use of search classified in Class 715, subclass 500, which would not required for Group I, therefore restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

7. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

8. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of the of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).

1. **Any response to this action should mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks
Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 746-7239 (for formal of draft communication intended for entry)

Or:

(703) 872-9306 (for informal of draft communications, please label
“PROPOSED” or “DRAFT”)

Hand- delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2021 Crystal Drive, Arlington
VA, Fourth floor (Receptionist).

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the
examiner should be directed to Jacques Veillard whose telephone number is (703) 305-7094. The
examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to about 4:00 PM., and on
alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner’s supervisor, Dov
Popovici, can be reached at (703) 305-3830. The fax phone number for this group is (703) 308-
5403.

C. Rones
CHARLES RONES
PRIMARY EXAMINER

J.V.

Jacques Veillard
Patent Examiner TC 2100

August 24, 2004